

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,255	05/04/2006	Nigel Stephen Workman	127927	6680	
25944 7590 06/23/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			BASHAW, HEIDI M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/578,255 WORKMAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HEIDI M. BASHAW -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 March 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 04 May 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/578,255

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-9 and 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Odén WO 94/27517.
- 3. Re claim 1, Odén teaches a method of manufacturing a dental prosthesis comprising: providing a preparation comprising a first anchor and a connecting portion connected to the first anchor as illustrated in fig. 6, b) scanning at least the connecting portion of the preparation, forming a physical model on the connecting portion to produce a pontic and d) scanning at least the pontic (page 11, lines 17-26). Odén does not specifically teach wherein the surface of the first anchor is scanned during a scanning step and wherein the scanning of the first anchor is carried out during either step b) or step d), however does teach the pontic being scanned (page 1, lines 25-26) which includes the first anchor as illustrated in fig. 8, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Odén teaches scanning the first anchor during step d) of scanning the pontic. As to claims 2-3, Odén teaches the preparation is scanned to provide data concerning relative location
- 3, Oden teaches the preparation is scanned to provide data concerning relative location of the first anchor and the connecting portion and the data concerning the relative

locations is used to align data obtained during the scanning steps (page 11, lines 27-28). As to claim 4, Odén teaches, as illustrated in fig. 8, in addition to producing a physical model of a pontic, connectors which connect the pontic to the first anchor are produced and the connectors are scanned (page 12, lines 25-30, page 11, lines 25-26). As to claim 5, Odén teaches applying mathematical rules to data collected during the scanning process to create the connectors (page 11, lines 27-28). As to claims 6-7, Odén teaches calculating an offset as illustrated in fig. 7 (page 8 lines 33-35, page 9, lines 1-5, page 11, lines 24-28). As to claim 9, Odén further teaches producing the shape of the dental prosthesis from a ceramic former (page 12, lines 1-3, 9-10).

- Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Odén WO 94/27517 in view of Van der Zel 6.495.072.
- 5. As to claim 10, Odén does not teach the prosthesis is coated with porcelain.
- 6. Van der Zel teaches the prosthesis is coated with porcelain (col. 4, II. 21-22).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Odén in view of Van der Zel since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960) MPEP 2144.07).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Luthardt et al. 7.162.321 and Wohlwend 6.106,747.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HEIDI M. BASHAW whose telephone number is (571)270-3081. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/578,255 Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heidi Bashaw Examiner Art Unit 3732 /John J Wilson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

нмв